

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/072,435	02/08/2002	Jason C. Shermer	02758-000563	02758-000563 2587		
27572	7590 03/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	S, DICKEY & PIERCE,	RHEE,	RHEE, JANE J			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1745			
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/072,435	SHERMER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jane Rhee	1745

Advisory Action	10/072,435 SHERMER ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jane Rhee	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time peri AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month: FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing t	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be FE below);	ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will rided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit are the applications are seen as the second of the second	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).				
In the affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant argues that the tip portion separated from the total for corresponding with a second platen configuration with attached to the body portion a first iron shaped configuration no longer existed therefore created a second platen.	pody portion does not define a second different exter dimensions, howe tion was made and when the tip po	ond confiuration of the ever, when the tip por ortion was removed, the	e body portion tion was				
2. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (
3. Other:		LIOSEPH RYAN					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE: